

ONE HUNDRED SEVENTEENTH CONGRESS  
**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**  
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115  
Majority (202) 225-2927  
Minority (202) 225-3641

July 21, 2022

Lawrence A. Tabak, D.D.S., PhD.  
Acting Director  
National Institutes of Health  
9000 Rockville Pike  
Bethesda, MD 20892

Dr. Tabak,

We are troubled that the NIH has failed since 2015 to convene the Scientific Management Review Board (SMRB) as required by the NIH Reform Act.<sup>1</sup>

According to a STAT review of agency records, the SMRB, tasked with making the NIH more efficient and more effective, “mysteriously stopped meeting seven years ago” and SMRB members do not know why.<sup>2</sup> As noted by STAT, “the de facto disappearance of the NIH’s Scientific Management Review Board, critics charge, is emblematic of the agency’s broader reluctance to accept criticism and to modernize. Some science policy experts have argued lately that the NIH operates too slowly, funds research too conservatively, and labors under a bureaucratic structure that is cumbersome and unwieldy.”<sup>3</sup> Further, by not convening the SMRB, the NIH is missing opportunities to address profound scientific management concerns such as what actions NIH is taking, or needs to take, to increase support for young researchers in the NIH intramural program.

By not convening the SMRB for the last seven years, the NIH has failed to comply with the NIH Reform Act of 2006. This law created the SMRB to provide advice on the use of organizational authorities granted to the NIH, and to formally and publicly review NIH

---

<sup>1</sup> 42 USC §281(e).

<sup>2</sup> Lev Facher, A panel meant to make NIH efficient hasn’t met for 7 years, STAT, (May 9, 2022), *available at* [https://www.statnews.com/2022/05/09/the-panel-was-supposed-to-improve-efficiency-at-the-nih-it-hasnt-even-met-for-7-years/?utm\\_source=STAT+Newsletters&utm\\_campaign=2d13ec4e5c-MR\\_COPY\\_01&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_term=0\\_8cab1d7961-2d13ec4e5c-152918910](https://www.statnews.com/2022/05/09/the-panel-was-supposed-to-improve-efficiency-at-the-nih-it-hasnt-even-met-for-7-years/?utm_source=STAT+Newsletters&utm_campaign=2d13ec4e5c-MR_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_8cab1d7961-2d13ec4e5c-152918910).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

organizational structure at least once every seven years.<sup>4</sup> The law set out time frames for the Director to take action on such recommendations, and provided for review by Congress.<sup>5</sup> As required by the Reform Act, SMRB has conducted public reviews of NIH's organizational structure and processes during 2010-2015, but has not been convened since 2015.<sup>6</sup>

We note from the Board's chart posted on the NIH website, that the estimated annual cost for operating the Board, including compensation and travel expenses for members but excluding staff support, is \$167,851. The estimate of annual person-years of staff support required is 2.2 full-time equivalent employees (FTEs), at an approximate annual cost of \$321,050.<sup>7</sup> The total annual cost of the Board is \$488,901. Without convening the SMRB as was done during 2010-2015, we are concerned that the NIH has diverted this funding reserved for operating and supporting the SMRB to other purposes.

In light of our concerns, please respond to the following by August 4, 2022:

1. Please explain why the NIH has failed to convene the SMRB since 2015. Who decided to stop convening the SMRB? When was this decision made? If the decision is in writing, please provide. If the decision is not in writing, why not?
2. Since the NIH has discontinued convening this board since 2015, how has the NIH used the \$488,901 per year or \$2,933,406 over six years?
3. Will NIH return to the U.S. Treasury the more than \$2.9 million in funding that was not used for operating and supporting the SMRB?
4. Please name the NIH staff who were originally designated to be responsible for staff support of the SMRB. What have these designated staff been working on since 2015 instead of supporting the SMRB?
5. Will the NIH reconvene the SMRB, and if so, when?
6. Can you provide a list of all recommendations voted on by the SMRB and the votes with respect to each recommendation?
7. For those recommendations that were supported by the SMRB, please provide the status of implementation of those recommendations.

---

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> 42 USC §281(e)(2)(f)(4).

<sup>6</sup> Matt Faherty, New Science's Report on the NIH (April 2022) *available at* <https://newscience.org/nih/>.


<sup>7</sup> National Institutes of Health (NIH), Scientific Management Review Board, Charter, *available at* <https://smrb.od.nih.gov/charter.html>.

If you have questions about this correspondence, please contact Alan Slobodin of the Minority Committee Staff.

Sincerely,



Cathy McMorris Rodgers  
Republican Leader  
Committee on Energy and Commerce



H. Morgan Griffith  
Republican Leader  
Subcommittee on Oversight and  
Investigations  
Committee on Energy and Commerce



Brett Guthrie  
Republican Leader  
Subcommittee on Health  
Committee on Energy and Commerce

Cc: The Honorable Frank Pallone, Chair, House Energy and Commerce Committee  
The Honorable Anna Eshoo, Chair, Subcommittee on Health  
The Honorable Diana DeGette, Chair, Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations